arXiv:1405.1707v2 [math.QA] 15 Feb 2015

FREE FIELD REALIZATION OF THE TWISTED HEISENBERG-VIRASORO ALGEBRA AT LEVEL ZERO AND ITS APPLICATIONS

DRAŽEN ADAMOVIĆ AND GORDAN RADOBOLJA

Abstract. We investigate the free field realization of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{H}$ at level zero. We completely describe the structure of the associated Fock representations. Using vertex-algebraic methods and screening operators we construct singular vectors in certain Verma modules as Schur polynomials. We completely solve the irreducibility problem for the tensor products of irreducible highest weight modules with intermediate series. We also determine the fusion rules for an interesting subcategory of $\mathcal{H}$–modules. Finally, as an application we present a free-field realization of the $W(2,2)$-algebra and interpret the $W(2,2)$–singular vectors as $\mathcal{H}$–singular vectors in Verma modules.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the representation theory of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero by using methods from the theory of vertex algebras. An emphasis will be put on the construction and explicit realization of modules, intertwining operators and the determination of fusion rules. The highest weight representation theory of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero was developed by Y. Billig (cf. [B1], [B2]). This Lie algebra is important because it appears in the representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras. We shall show in this paper that it is also interesting from the vertex-algebraic point of view. In the present paper, instead of applying the highest weight representation theory developed by Y. Billig, we shall apply the concept of a free field realization of modules.

Recall that the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra is the infinite-dimensional complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ with basis

$$\{L(n), I(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{C_L, C_{LI}, C_I\}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69, Secondary 17B67, 17B68, 81R10.

Key words and phrases. twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, $W(2,2)$-algebra, Free fields, Schur polynomials, Singular vectors.

and commutation relations:

$$[L(n), L(m)] = (n-m)L(n+m) + \delta_{n,-m}\frac{n^3-n}{12}C_L, \tag{1.1}$$

$$[L(n), I(m)] = -mI(n+m) - \delta_{n,-m}(n^2+n)C_{LI}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$[I(n), I(m)] = n\delta_{n,-m}C_I, \tag{1.3}$$

$$[\mathcal{H}, C_L] = [\mathcal{H}, C_{LI}] = [\mathcal{H}, C_I] = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Using the results and concepts of [ACKP] we see that when the central element C_I of the Heisenberg subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ acts non-trivially, then every highest weight $\mathcal{H}$–module is a tensor product of a highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra and an irreducible module for the Heisenberg algebra. So in this case, a free-field realization of $\mathcal{H}$–modules can be obtained by using the free-field realization of the Virasoro algebra, which is well-known (cf. [FF]). Therefore in this paper we shall be focused only on the case of level zero, i.e., when C_I acts trivially.

We should mention that a free field realization of certain indecomposable modules at level zero was constructed and analyzed in [JJ].

Let $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_I, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ denote the Verma module with highest weight $(c_L, c_I, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ (cf. [B1]).

When $c_I = 0$, we will write $V^{\mathcal{H}}$ for $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$.

Theorem 1.1. [B1] *Assume that $c_I = 0$ and $c_{L,I} \neq 0$.*

(i): *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} = 1$, then the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}$ is irreducible.*

(ii): *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$, then $V^{\mathcal{H}}$ has a singular vector $v \in V_p$, where $p = |\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1|$. The quotient module $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) = V^{\mathcal{H}}/U(\mathcal{H})v$ is irreducible and its character is*

$$\operatorname{char} L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) = q^h(1-q^p)\prod_{j\geq 1}(1-q^j)^{-2}.$$

In this paper we shall first present an explicit formula for singular vectors in the case $h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 = p \geq 1$, and then a partial formula in the case $1 - h_I/c_{L,I} = p \geq 1$.

Recall that the Schur polynomials $S_r(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ in variables $x_1, x_2, \cdots$ are defined by the following equation:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x_n}{n}y^n\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}S_r(x_1, x_2, \cdots)y^r.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $c_{L,I} \neq 0$ and $p = \frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then*

$$S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I} \tag{1.5}$$

is a singular vector of conformal weight p in the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}$.

The proof of this theorem will use a free field realization of the Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra, the screening operator

$$Q = \mathrm{Res}_z Y(e^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{-c_{L,I}}}, z)$$

and the vertex-operator formulas in lattice vertex algebras (cf. Section 2).

Unfortunately this approach does not provide an explicit realization of the singular vector in the case $h_I/c_{L,I} \in -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. But in this case we will use the free-field realization to present a cohomological realization of the irreducible highest weight modules. We will show that the irreducible highest weight module $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ can be realized as a submodule of a suitably chosen Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ such that

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) = \mathrm{Ker}_{\mathcal{F}_{r,s}} Q.$$

We apply our construction to the following two (closely related) problems in the representation theory of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{H}$:

(A) The irreducibility of tensor products: $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$, where $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$–module from the intermediate series.

(B) The determination of fusion rules for irreducible $\mathcal{H}$–modules from the vertex-algebraic point of view.

Problem (A) was posed in [R2] (see also [LZ]) but for the complete solution one needs explicit formulas for singular vectors. These formulas are obtained in the present paper, so in Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 we present the complete solution to problem (A).

For problem (B), in Theorem 6.6 we determine the fusion rules for simple highest weight modules

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I,) \quad \text{such that} \quad h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

It is important to notice that all non-trivial intertwining operators from the fusion rules formula are explicitly constructed using our free field realization.

Our approach can be also used for the determination of singular vectors in the Lie algebra $W(2,2)$ (cf. Theorem 7.5). We present a free-field realization of $W(2,2)$ which enables us to show that the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}$ has the structure of a Verma module over the $W(2,2)$–algebra. Therefore, the singular vector (1.5) becomes a singular vector over the $W(2,2)$–algebra.

2. Free-field realization of the Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra and screening operators

In this section we shall present a free field realization of the Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$ at level zero. We shall realize $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$ as a subalgebra of the Heisenberg vertex algebra $M(1)$ generated by two Heisenberg fields. This will imply that every $M(1)$–module $M(1,\gamma)$ is a

module for the vertex algebra $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$ and therefore for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{H}$. We completely describe the structure of $M(1,\gamma)$ as a $\mathcal{H}$–module. We will also construct screening operators which will be very useful for the determination of singular vectors.

A free–field realization of certain $\mathcal{H}$–modules also appeared in [JJ]. The main difference with our approach is in the fact that we use screening operators to construct singular and cosingular vectors.

Define the following hyperbolic lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta$ such that

$$\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = -\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 1, \ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and extend the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{h}$. We can consider $\mathfrak{h}$ as an abelian Lie algebra. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ be the affinization of $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}$ and consider $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$–module

$$M(1,\gamma) := U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\mathbb{C}[t]\otimes\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C}$$

where $t\mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ acts as $\langle \delta, \gamma \rangle$ for $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}$ and c acts as 1. We shall denote the highest weight vector in $M(1,\gamma)$ by e^{γ}.

We shall write $M(1)$ for $M(1,0)$. For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $h(n)$ for $t^n \otimes h$. Set $h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h(n) z^{-n-1}$. Then $M(1)$ is a vertex algebra generated by the fields $h(z)$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, $M(1,\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}$, are irreducible $M(1)$–modules.

Let $V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L]$ be the vertex algebra associated to the lattice L, where $\mathbb{C}[L]$ is the group algebra of L. Details about lattice vertex algebras can be found in [K], [LL]. The lattice vertex algebra V_L appeared in [B2] in the study of toroidal vertex algebras.

Define the Heisenberg and Virasoro vectors

$$I = \alpha(-1) + \beta(-1) \tag{2.6}$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(-1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta(-1)^2 + \lambda\alpha(-2) + \mu\beta(-2). \tag{2.7}$$

Then the components of the fields

$$I(z) = Y(I,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} I(n) z^{-n-1}, \quad L(z) = Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$$

satisfy the commutation relations for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$c_L = 2 - 12(\lambda^2 - \mu^2), \ c_{L,I} = \lambda - \mu. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.8) it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{2 - c_L}{24 c_{L,I}} + \frac{1}{2} c_{L,I}, \ \mu = \frac{2 - c_L}{24 c_{L,I}} - \frac{1}{2} c_{L,I}.$$

In particular, I and ω generate the simple Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, 0, 0)$ which we shall denote by $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$.

Note that for every $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ $e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a singular vector for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ in $M(1, r\alpha + s\beta)$ and $U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight (h, h_I) where

$$h = \Delta_{r,s} = \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \lambda r + \mu s, \ h_I = r - s. \tag{2.9}$$

One can easily see that for every $(h, h_I) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $h_I \neq c_{L,I}$ there exists a unique $(r, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that (2.9) holds. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 2.1.
(1) *Let $(h, h_I) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $h_I \neq c_{L,I}$. Then there exist unique $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a highest weight vector of the highest weight (h, h_I).*
(2) *For every $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $r - s = \lambda - \mu = c_{L,I}$ $e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a highest weight vector of weight*

$$(h, h_I) = (\frac{c_L - 2}{24}, c_{L,I}).$$

Remark 2.2. *It is very interesting that our free-field realization in the case $h_I = c_{L,I}$ gives only a realization of highest weight module with $h = (c_L - 2)/24$.*

In particular, if we take $r = s = -\frac{1}{c_{L,I}}$ we get that $u = e^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}}$ is a highest weight vector of highest weight $(1, 0)$.

Let

$$Q = \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u, z) = u_0.$$

The vertex operator $Y(u, z)$ acts in the following sense.

Let $\phi = -\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}$ and D be the lattice $D = \mathbb{Z}\phi$. Then one can show that

$$\overline{V}_D = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[D]$$

is a vertex algebra (cf. [BDT]). The vertex operator $Y(u, z)$ and its component Q are well-defined on every $\overline{V}_D$–module. Let D^0 denote the dual lattice of D. In our case

$$\lambda \in D^0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \langle \lambda, \alpha + \beta \rangle \in c_{L,I}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then for every $\lambda \in D^0$

$$\overline{V}_{\lambda+D} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda + D]$$

is a $\overline{V}_D$–module (cf. [BDT], [LL], [K]).

Remark 2.3. *The representation theory of the vertex algebra $\overline{V}_D$ was developed in* [BDT] *and* [LW]. *This vertex algebra also appeared in* [B2], [BF] *where it was denoted by V^+_{Hyp}.*

Lemma 2.4.

$$[Q, L(n)] = [Q, I(n)] = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, Q is a screening operator which commutes with the action of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since

$$L(0)u = u,\ L(n)u = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

using commutator formulas for vertex operators we get

$$[L(n), u_m] = -mu_{n+m}$$

which implies that Q commutes with the action of the Virasoro algebra. Since

$$I(n)u = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

the commutator formula implies that the components of the fields $I(z)$ and $Y(u, z)$ commute. The proof follows. □

Since Q commutes with the action of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra, then for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $Q^j e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is either zero or a singular vector. Moreover, Q is preserving the gradation, so if $Q^j e^{r\alpha+s\beta} \neq 0$, then $U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ and $U(\mathcal{H}).Q^j e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ are highest weight $\mathcal{H}$–modules having same highest weight.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ the $M(1)$–module generated by $e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$. Define $c_L, c_{L,I}, h_I, h$ as above. We can consider $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ as a module for the Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$ (and therefore for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$). Clearly, $U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a highest weight $\mathcal{H}$–module. Let v_{h,h_I} be the highest-weight vector in the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$. There is a surjective $\mathcal{H}$–homomorphism

$$\Phi : V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \to U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta},\ \Phi(v_{h,h_I}) = e^{r\alpha+s\beta}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{C}[I(-1), I(-2), \cdots]v_{h,h_I}.$$

By construction we know that $\Phi|\mathcal{I}$ is injective. We have:

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that*

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \notin -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_{r,s} \cong V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ as $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules.

Proof. First we assume that $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$–module, and since its q–character coincides with the q–character of $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$, we get $\mathcal{F}_{r,s} \cong V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$.

Assume that $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then the results of [B1] easily imply that every singular vector in the Verma $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ must belong

to $\mathcal{I}$. Assume that $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi) \neq 0$. Then the fact that $\Phi|\mathcal{I}$ is injective implies that $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ contains a singular vector which does not belong to $\mathcal{I}$. This is in contradiction with [B1]. So $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi) = 0$ and therefore $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \cong U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{r,s}$. Now the equality of q–characters implies the statement. □

Recall the definition of a contragradient module from [FHL]. Assume that V is a vertex operator algebra, (M, Y_M) is a graded V–module such that $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ and that there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(0)|M(n) \equiv (\gamma + n)\mathrm{Id}$, $\dim M(n) < \infty$. The contragradient module M^* is defined as follows. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $M(n)^*$ be the dual vector space and $M^* = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)^*$. Consider the natural pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M^* \otimes M \to \mathbb{C}$. Define the linear map $Y_{M^*} : V \to \mathrm{End}M^*[[z, z^{-1}]$ such that

$$\langle Y_{M^*}(v, z)w', w\rangle = \langle w', Y_M(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}v, z^{-1})w\rangle$$

for each $v \in V$, $w \in M$, w'^*. Then (M^*, Y_{M^*}) carries the structure of a V–module.

Let us now consider the case $M = \mathcal{F}_{r,s}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L(n)w', w\rangle &= \langle w', L(-n)w\rangle \\
\langle Qw', w\rangle &= -\langle w', Qw\rangle \\
\langle \alpha(n)w', w\rangle &= \langle w', (-\alpha(-n) + 2\lambda\delta_{n,0})w\rangle \\
\langle \beta(n)w', w\rangle &= \langle w', (-\beta(-n) - 2\mu\delta_{n,0})w\rangle \\
\langle I(n)w', w\rangle &= \langle w', (-I(-n) + 2c_{L,I}\delta_{n,0})w\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We get the following result:

Lemma 2.6. *We have:*

(1)
$$\mathcal{F}_{r,s}^* \cong \mathcal{F}_{2\lambda-r,2\mu-s}.$$

(2)
$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)^* \cong L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, -h_I + 2c_{L,I})$$

Recall that a vector v in a $\mathcal{H}$–module M is called cosingular (or subsingular) if there is a submodule $N \subset M$ such that $v + N$ is a singular vector in the quotient module M/N.

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that*

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

As a $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–module $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ is generated by $e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ and a family of cosingular vectors $\{v_{n,p} : n \geq 1\}$, such that

$$Q^n v_{n,p} = e^{r\alpha+s\beta-n\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}}.$$

In particular,

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) = Ker_{\mathcal{F}_{r,s}} Q.$$

Proof. First recall the following formula for characters of irreducible modules (cf. [B1]):

$$ch_q L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h + np, h_I) = q^{h+np}(1 - q^p) \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j)^{-2}.$$

This implies

$$ch_q \mathcal{F}_{r,s} = q^h \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j)^{-2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} ch_q L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h + np, h_I). \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\phi = -\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}$. Consider now the contragradient module $(\mathcal{F}_{r,s})^* \cong \mathcal{F}_{2\lambda-r,2\mu-s}$. Then

$$Q^n (e^{r\alpha+s\beta+n\phi})^*$$

is a nontrivial singular vector in the contragradient module $(\mathcal{F}_{r,s})^*$ which implies that there is a vector $v_{n,p}$ of weight $h + np$ such that

$$\langle Q^n (e^{r\alpha+s\beta+n\phi})^*, v_{n,p} \rangle \neq 0.$$

Since

$$\langle Q^n (e^{r\alpha+s\beta+n\phi})^*, v_{n,p} \rangle \ = (-1)^n \langle (e^{r\alpha+s\beta+n\phi})^*, Q^n v_{n,p} \rangle$$

we have that $Q^n v_{n,p}$ is proportional to $e^{r\alpha+s\beta+n\phi}$. Let $Z_n = \mathrm{Ker}_{\mathcal{F}_{r,s}} Q^n$. Then Z_n is a non-trival submodule of $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ (in particular, $v_{n-1,p} \in Z_n$). We have that

$$v_{n,p} + Z_n \quad \text{is a singular vector in} \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}_{r,s}}{Z_n}.$$

Using character identity (2.10) we get the following filtration:

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,s} = \cup_{n \geq 0} Z_n, \quad Z_n / Z_{n-1} \cong L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h + np, h_I). \tag{2.11}$$

The proof follows. □

Remark 2.8. *A version of Proposition 2.7 appeared in Theorem 2.4 of* [JJ]. *In some special cases, the authors obtained the filtration (2.11) by a different method which was not based on screening operators.*

Finally, we shall now describe the structure of $M(1)$ as a $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–module.

Corollary 2.9. *As a $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–module $M(1)$ is generated by* **1** *and a family of cosingular vectors $\{v_n : n \geq 1\}$, such that*

$$Q^n v_n = e^{-n\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}}.$$

In particular,

$$L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I}) = Ker_{M(1)} Q.$$

Remark 2.10. *It is a standard technique in the theory of $\mathcal{W}$–algebras and vertex algebras to realize vertex algebras as kernels of screening operators inside of the free boson vertex algebras. In particular, in the case of the single boson, the $\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}(2, 2p-1)$ and the Virasoro vertex algebra of central charge of $(1,p)$–models are realized as kernels of certain screening operators (cf.* [A1]*).*

3. Intertwining operators from the free-field realization

For a vertex operator algebra V and their modules M_1, M_2, M_3, let

$$\mathcal{Y}\binom{M_3}{M_1 \quad M_2}$$

denotes the vector space of all intertwining operators of type $\binom{M_3}{M_1 \quad M_2}$ (cf. [FHL]). In the vertex algebra theory, it is important to construct intertwining operators. In this section we shall apply the free-field realization from the previous section to construct intertwining operators for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$.

Next we shall use this result to prove reducibility of tensor product of $\mathcal{H}$–modules $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$.

Let $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F}$ denotes an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-module from the intermediate series, i.e., $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}v_n$ with the action

$$\begin{aligned} L(k)v_n &= -\left(n+\alpha+\beta+k\beta\right)v_{n+k}, \\ I(k)v_n &= Fv_{n+k}, \quad \{C_L, C_{LI}, C_I\}\, v_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is a $\mathcal{H}$-module with infinite-dimensional weight spaces (see [R2]). The tensor product $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is closely related with intertwining operators for vertex algebras. Let us explain this relation in more details.

Suppose that there exists a non-trivial intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}$ of the type

$$\binom{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}.$$

Let v be the highest weight vector in $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$, and let $\mathcal{Y}(v,z) = z^{-\alpha}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$, where

$$\alpha = h + h' - h''.$$

Using commutator formulas for vertex operators, one can show that the components v_n of intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(v,z)$ span a $\mathcal{H}$–module isomorphic to $V'_{\alpha,1-h,h_I}$. In this way we get a non-trivial $\mathcal{H}$-homomorphism

$$\varphi : V'_{\alpha,1-h,h_I} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I) \to L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)$$
$$\varphi\left(v_n \otimes w'\right) = v_n w'$$

where $w' \in L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$. Comparing the dimensions of weight spaces, we conclude that $\ker \varphi$ is nontrivial and, in particular, that $V'_{\alpha,1-h,h_I} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ is reducible.

The proof of the following proposition is standard.

Proposition 3.1. *Let*

$$d = \dim \mathcal{Y}\binom{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}.$$

Then $d = 0$ or 1. If $d = 1$, then $h''_I = h_I + h'_I$.

Proof. Let v, v' and v'' be highest weight vectors in $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$, $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ and $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)$ be the intertwining operator of the above type. Let

$$\mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \alpha + \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (\alpha = h + h' - h'').$$

Then there is $n_0 \in \alpha + \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$v_{n_0} v' = \nu v'' \quad (\nu \neq 0) \tag{3.12}$$

where $n_0 = h + h' - h''$. We have

$$I(0)(v_{n_0} v') = \nu(h_I + h'_I) v'' = \nu h''_I v''.$$

Is it easy to see (for instance see [L], Proposition 7.3.5) that $\mathcal{Y}$ is uniquely determined (up to a scalar factor) by (3.12). The proof follows. □

Now we shall apply this concept on the intertwining operators constructed using the free-field realization. First we shall use the standard fusion rules result for the Heisenberg vertex algebra $M(1)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2), (r_3, s_3) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then in the category of $M(1)$–modules:*

$$\dim \mathcal{Y}\binom{\mathcal{F}_{r_3,s_3}}{\mathcal{F}_{r_1,s_1} \quad \mathcal{F}_{r_2,s_2}} = \delta_{r_1+r_2,r_3} \delta_{s_1+s_2,s_3}.$$

In particular, there exists a unique non-trivial intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)$ of the type $\binom{\mathcal{F}_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}}{\mathcal{F}_{r_1,s_1} \quad \mathcal{F}_{r_2,s_2}}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \sum_{n \in n_0 + \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_{r_1,s_1}$ and that

$$(e^{r_1\alpha + s_1\beta})_{n_0 - 1} e^{r_2\alpha + s_2\beta} = e^{(r_1+r_2)\alpha + (s_1+s_2)\beta}$$

where $n_0 = \Delta_{r_1,s_1} + \Delta_{r_2,s_2} - \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$.

By restricting the above intertwining operators we get the following intertwining operator in the category of $\mathcal{H}$–modules.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that*

$$(h, h_I) = (\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1), (h', h'_I) = (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

such that

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1, \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1, \frac{h_I + h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \ \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Then there is a non-trivial intertwining operator of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h_I + h'_I) \\ L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$h'' = \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2} = \left(h'_I + h_I - c_{L,I}\right) \left(\frac{h'}{h'_I - c_{L,I}} + \frac{h}{h_I - c_{L,I}}\right) - h_I h'_I \left(\frac{1}{h'_I - c_{L,I}} + \frac{1}{h_I - c_{L,I}}\right) \frac{c_L - 2}{24 c_{L,I}}$$

In particular, the $\mathcal{H}$–module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ is reducible where

$$\alpha = h + h' - h'', \ \beta = 1 - h, \ F = h_I.$$

Corollary 3.4. *Assume that*

$$(h, h_I) = (\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1), (h', h'_I) = (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

and that there are $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $q \leq p$ such that

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -q, \ \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = p.$$

Then there is a non-trivial intertwining operator of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h_I + h'_I) \\ L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h'' = \Delta_{r_2-r_1,s_2-s_1} &= \left(h'_I - h_I - c_{L,I}\right) \left(\frac{h'}{h'_I - c_{L,I}} - \frac{h}{h_I - c_{L,I}}\right) \\ &+ h_I \left(\frac{h'_I}{h'_I - c_{L,I}} - \frac{2h_I - h'_I}{h_I - c_{L,I}}\right) \frac{c_L - 2}{24 c_{L,I}} = \\ &= (p + q - 1) \left(\frac{h'}{p} + \frac{h}{q}\right) + (1 - p)(1 - q) \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{c_L - 2}{24} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the $\mathcal{H}$–module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h''_I)$ is reducible where

$$\alpha = h + h' - h'', \ \beta = 1 - h, \ F = h_I.$$

Proof. By using Proposition 3.2 we get the non-trivial intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot,z)$ of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},\Delta_{r_2,s_2},-h_I'+2c_{L,I}) \\ L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},h,h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},\Delta_{r_2-r_1,s_2-s_1},-h_I-h_I'+2c_{L,I}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the adjoint intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}^*(\cdot,z)$ is a non-trivial intertwining operator of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},\Delta_{r_2-r_1,s_2-s_1},h_I+h_I') \\ L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},h,h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},\Delta_{r_2,s_2},h_I') \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof follows. □

4. Schur polynomials and singular vectors

In the theory of Fock spaces for the Virasoro algebra, the singular vectors can be expressed using Schur polynomials or Jack polynomials (see [S] for relation between Virasoro singular vectors and Jack polynomials). But these expressions don't give a formula for the Virasoro singular vectors in the Verma modules in the usual PBW basis. In this section we will see that in the case of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, this approach can give explicit formulas for singular vectors in the PBW basis. Our construction shall use the free-field realization of highest weight modules from Section 2.

Define the Schur polynomials $S_r(x_1,x_2,\cdots)$ in variables $x_1,x_2,\cdots$ by the following equation:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x_n}{n}y^n\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}S_r(x_1,x_2,\cdots)y^r. \tag{4.13}$$

We shall also use the following formula for Schur polynomials:

$$S_r(x_1,x_2,\cdots)=\frac{1}{r!}\det\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & & x_r \\ -r+1 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{r-1} \\ 0 & -r+2 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{r-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.14}$$

Schur polynomials naturally appear in the formulas for vertex operators for lattice vertex algebras (cf. [K], [LL]) and they are useful in the representation theory of $\mathcal{W}$–algebras (for one application see [A1]). We shall here only recall that for $\gamma\in D$, $\delta\in D^0$, $\langle\gamma,\delta\rangle=-n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$e_{i-1}^{\gamma}e^{\delta}=\nu S_{n-i}(\gamma(-1),\gamma(-2),\cdots)e^{\gamma+\delta}\quad(\nu\in\{\pm1\}).$$

Let $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L,0,c_{L,I},h,h_I)$ denote the Verma module for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra. Let v_{h,h_I} be its highest-weight vector.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $v \in \mathcal{I} \subset V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is such that $\Phi(v) \in \mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ is a non-trivial singular vector. Then v is a singular vector in $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$.*

Proof. Assume that v is not a singular vector. Then there is $n_0 > 0$ such that $L(n_0)v \neq 0$. But $L(n_0)v \in \mathcal{I}$ and therefore $L(n_0)\Phi(v) = \Phi(L(n_0)v) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Proof follows. □

Our main result is:

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $c_{L,I} \neq 0$ and $p = \frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then*

$$S_p\left(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, -\frac{I(-p)}{c_{L,I}}\right) v_{h,h_I}$$

is a singular vector in the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ of conformal weight p.

Proof. Take now (unique) $\lambda, \mu, r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that relations (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Then $M := U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight (h, h_I).

Then

$$(-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}, r\alpha + s\beta + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}) = -p - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$Qe^{r\alpha+s\beta+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{c_{L,I}}} = S_p\left(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, -\frac{I(-p)}{c_{L,I}}\right) e^{r\alpha+s\beta}$$

is a singular vector in M. Lemma 4.1 gives that $S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, -\frac{I(-p)}{c_{L,I}})v_{h,h_I}$ is a singular vector in $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$. Proof follows. □

Now we shall present a formula for singular vectors in the case $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $\Lambda \in V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)_p$ be a singular vector. Then*

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S_i\left(\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, \frac{I(-i)}{c_{L,I}}\right) L(i-p)v + x_p v,$$

for some $x_p v \in \mathcal{I}_p$.

Proof. It was proven in ([R2]) that Λ is linear in L's, i.e.

$$\Lambda = L(-p) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i L(i-p)v + x_p, \text{ where } x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{-i}.$$

We shall prove our assertion by induction. Suppose that

$$x_i = S_i\left(\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, \frac{I(-i)}{c_{L,I}}\right) \quad \text{for} \;\; i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Using the fact that $I(i)$'s commute and that $I(i)\Lambda = 0$ for $i > 0$ we have

$$0 = I(p-k)\Lambda =$$
$$(p-k)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} S_i\left(\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, \frac{I(-i)}{c_{L,I}}\right) I(i-k)v + (h_I + (p-k-1)c_{L,I}x_k v\right).$$

Since $h_I = -(p-1)c_{L,I}$ we get $x_k = \frac{1}{kc_{L,I}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} S_i\left(\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, \frac{I(-i)}{c_{L,I}}\right) I(i-k)$ and the right side is exactly the recursive relation one gets from the Laplace expansion in (4.14). Therefore, $x_k = S_k\left(\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, \dots, \frac{I(-k)}{c_{L,I}}\right)$. □

5. An application of singular vectors to the irreducibility of tensor products

We shall now apply our formula for singular vectors which uses Schur polynomials to the problem of irreducibility of the tensor products $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$. Similar irreducibility problems for the Virasoro algebra and the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra were studied in the papers [CGZ], [LZ] [R1], [R2], [Zh]. Our irreducibility result is a certain refinement of these results.

Let us discuss the irreducibility of $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ in general. It was shown in [R2] (see also [LZ]) that this module is irreducible if and only if

$$U(\mathcal{H})(v_n \otimes v) \subseteq U(\mathcal{H})(v_{n+1} \otimes v) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.15}$$

One can obtain this inclusion using explicit formulas for singular vectors in $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$. We shall first consider the case $h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 = p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then the maximal submodule in $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is generated by the singular vector

$$\Omega = S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I}.$$

In [LZ], the authors introduce a very useful criterion for studying the irreducibility of tensor products (this approach is equivalent to the criterion (5.15)). They introduce the linear map $\phi_n : U(\mathcal{H}^-) \to \mathbb{C}$ inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_n(1) = 1 \\ &\phi_n(I(-i)u) = -F\phi_n(u) \\ &\phi_n(L(-i)u) = (\alpha + \beta + k + i + n - i\beta)\phi_n(u) \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

for every $u \in U(\mathcal{H}^-)$ of weight $-k$.

Then by Lemma 25 of [LZ] (see also Theorem 1 of [CGZ] for a similar statement in the Virasoro case) we have that $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible if and only if $\phi_n(\Omega) \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In our case we have:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $p = \frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\phi_n(\Omega) = (-1)^p \binom{-\frac{F}{c_{L,I}}}{p}.$$

So we obtain:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p = \frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is irreducible if and only if $F \neq (i-p)c_{L,I}$, for $i = 1, \dots, p$.*

Remark 5.3. *The reducibility of certain tensor product modules $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ (not all!) can be directly proved by using the intertwining operators constructed in Section 3.*

We shall now extend the irreducibility result obtained in Theorem 5.2 to the case $h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\phi_n(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left((n+p-i+\alpha+(1+i-p)\beta)\,(-1)^i \binom{\frac{F}{c_{L,I}}}{i} \right) + \phi_n(x_p) =$$
$$= (-1)^{p-1} \left(\binom{F/c_{L,I}-1}{p-1} (\alpha+n+\beta) + (1-\beta) \binom{F/c_{L,I}-2}{p-1} \right) + g_p(F)$$

for a certain polynomial $g_p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

In particular, if $F/c_{L,I} \notin \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique $\alpha := \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_n(\Lambda) = 0$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

(1) *Let $F/c_{L,I} \notin \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and let $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that*

$$\phi_0(\Lambda) = 0.$$

Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha \equiv \alpha_0 \mod \mathbb{Z}$. In this case $W^0 = U(\mathcal{H}).(v_0 \otimes v)$ is the simple submodule of $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ and $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)/W^0$ is a highest weight $\mathcal{H}$–module $\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)$ (not necessarily irreducible) where

$$h'' = -\alpha_0 + h + (1-\beta), \quad h''_I = F + h_I.$$

(2) *Let $F/c_{L,I} \in \{2, \dots, p-1\}$. Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is reducible. Moreover, $g_p(ic_{L,I}) = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, p$.*

(3) *Let $p > 1$ and $F/c_{L,I} = 1$. Then $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is reducible if and only if $1 - \beta = \frac{c_L - 2}{24}$. Moreover, $g_p(c_{L,I}) = \beta - 1$.*

Proof. The proof of (1) uses the fact that for $\alpha = \alpha_0$ we have $\Phi_0(\Lambda) = 0$ and $\Phi_n(\Lambda) \neq 0$ for $n \neq 0$. Then the assertion follows by using the arguments from [R2], [LZ].

Let us consider the case (2). Using Lemma 5.4 we see that $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is reducible if and only if $g_p(F) = 0$. So this tensor product is either irreducible for all α, β or it is reducible for all α, β. Therefore the reducibility in one case immediately gives that $g_p(F) = 0$, which will imply the reducibility in all cases. For that purpose we use the intertwining operator from Proposition 3.2 in the case

$$(\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1) = (1 - \beta, F),\ (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) = (h, h_I),$$

which gives a non-trivial $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–intertwining operator of type

$$\binom{\mathcal{F}_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}}{V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, 1 - \beta, F) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)}.$$

(Note that here $U(\mathcal{H}).e^{r_1\alpha + s_1\beta}$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, 1 - \beta, F)$).

This proves the existence of a non-trivial $\mathcal{H}$–homomorphism

$$\varphi : V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \to \mathcal{F}_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$$

and the reducibility of $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$. In particular we get $g_p(F) = 0$.

Let us prove the assertion (3). From Lemma 5.4 we see that there is a unique β such that $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is reducible and for all other values of β, the module is irreducible. Once again we apply the intertwining operators and Proposition 3.2 in the case

$$(\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1) = (\frac{c_L - 2}{24}, c_{L,I}),\ (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) = (h, h_I).$$

This gives a non-trivial $\mathcal{H}$–homomorphism

$$\varphi : V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \to \mathcal{F}_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$$

and proves the reducibility of the module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ for $\alpha = \frac{c_L - 2}{24} + h - \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$. Since the reducibility does not depend on the parameter α, we get the assertion (3). □

We present the simplest case in the following examples.

Example 5.6. *$\Lambda = (L(-1) + h'/c_{L,I} I(-1))v$ is a singular vector in the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', 0)$ and $\phi_n(\Lambda) = n + 1 + \alpha - Fh'/c_{L,I}$. Therefore, the module $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', 0)$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha \equiv Fh'/c_{L,I} \mod \mathbb{Z}$. If we let $\alpha = h + h' - h''$, $\beta = 1 - h$ and $F = h_I$*

we get the reducibility condition $h'' = h + (1 - h_I/c_{L,I})h'$. *Setting* $h'_I = 0$, *i.e.,* $r_2 = s_2$ *in Proposition 3.3 gives the same* h''.

Example 5.7. *An example of singular vector in* $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', -c_{L,I})$ *is*

$$\Lambda = (L(-2)+\frac{1}{c_{L,I}}I(-1)L(-1)+\frac{c_L+8h'-2}{16c_{L,I}}I(-2)+\frac{c_L+24h'-2}{48c_{L,I}^2}I(-1)^2)v.$$

Then we have $\phi_n(\Lambda) = (n+2+\alpha-\beta) - \frac{F}{c_{L,I}}(n+1+\alpha) - \frac{F}{c_{L,I}}\frac{c_L+8h'-2}{16} + \frac{F^2}{c_{L,I}^2}\frac{c_L+24h'-2}{48}$. *Let* $F = h_I = -kc_{L,I}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. *The module* $V'_{\alpha,\beta,F} \otimes L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', -c_{L,I})$ *is reducible if and only if* $\alpha = h + h' - h''$ *where*

$$h = 1 - \beta, \quad h'' = \frac{k+2}{k+1}h + \frac{k+2}{2}h' + \frac{k(k+3)}{k+1}\frac{c_L-2}{48}$$

Again, $h'' = \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$ *in Proposition 3.3.*

6. Fusion rules for $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules

In this section we shall consider the fusion ring for $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules. In particular, we shall consider the fusion subring generated by $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules:

(6.17) $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I,)$ such that $h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

The irreducibility result from Theorem 5.2 imply the following result on vanishing of fusion rules:

Corollary 6.1. *Let*

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \notin \{-1, -2, \dots, -p\}.$$

Then

$$\dim \mathcal{Y}\begin{pmatrix} M \\ L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) & L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all $h, h', c_L \in \mathbb{C}$ *and every* $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–*module* M.

Remark 6.2. *Assume that* M_1 *and* M_2 *are modules over vertex algebra* V. *One can define a tensor product of modules* M_1 *and* M_2 *as an ordered pair* $(T(M_1, M_2), F)$ *where* $T(M_1, M_2)$ *is a* V–*module and* F *is an intertwining operator of the type*

$$\binom{T(M_1, M_2)}{M_1 \quad M_2}$$

with the following universal property: for every V–*module* U *and every intertwining operator* $\mathcal{Y}$ *of type* $\binom{U}{M_1 \quad M_2}$ *there exists a* V–*module homomorphism* $\Psi : T(M_1, M_2) \to U$ *such that* $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z) = \Psi \circ F(\cdot, z)$. *It is a very difficult problem to prove the existence of a tensor product and associativity in a certain suitable category of modules. For details about the tensor product theory see*

[HLZ] *and references therein. In particular it was noted in* [L] *that if V is simple and self-dual and if the tensor product is associative, then the tensor product of two simple modules is non-zero.*

Our Corollary 6.1 shows that in the category of $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules, the tensor product of modules $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ and $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ can be zero. Our vertex algebra $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$ is simple but it is not self-dual. We still believe that the tensor product in the category of $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–modules is associative.

One can also construct examples such that the tensor product module of two non-zero modules is zero in the category of modules for the C_2–cofinite vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}_{p,p'}$ investigated in [AM].

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that $(h, h_I), (h', h'_I) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} \notin \{1, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Assume also that there is a non-trivial intertwining operator of the type

$$\binom{\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad \widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}$$

where $\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)$ are certain highest weight $\mathcal{H}$–modules with the highest weights $(h, h_I), (h', h'_I)$. Then the highest weight (h'', h''_I) is uniquely determined.

Proof. Assume that there is a non-trivial intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)$ of the type

$$\binom{\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad \widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}$$

Then clearly $h''_I = h_I + h'_I$. Let $\alpha = h + h' - h''$. Let v, v', v'' be the highest weight vectors in modules $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$, $\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\alpha} v_n z^{-n-1}$. Then there is $n_0 \in \alpha + \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$v_{n_0} v' = \nu v'' \quad (\nu \neq 0).$$

As usual, we shall denote v_{n_0} as $o(v)$. By using singular vector in the Verma module $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ and the proof of Theorem 5.5 (1) we get the following relation

$$\left((L(0) - h) o(v) \binom{\frac{I(0)}{c_{L,I}} - 1}{p-1} - o(v) L(0) \binom{\frac{I(0)}{c_{L,I}} - 2}{p-1} + o(v) \widetilde{g_p}(I(0)) \right) v' = 0. \tag{6.18}$$

for a certain polynomial $\widetilde{g_p} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. This shows that

$$(h''-h)\binom{\frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}}-1}{p-1} - h'\binom{\frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}}-2}{p-1} + \widetilde{g_p}(h'_I) = 0.$$

and therefore h'' is uniquely determined. The proof follows. □

Remark 6.4. *By using Frenkel-Zhu's bimodules (cf.* [FZ]*) relation (6.18) can be obtained in the form*

$$(\omega - h) * v * \binom{\frac{I}{c_{L,I}}-1}{p-1} - v * \omega * \binom{\frac{I}{c_{L,I}}-2}{p-1} + v * \widetilde{g_p}(I) = 0.$$

We leave the details to an interested reader.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that*

$$(h, h_I) = (\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1), \quad (h', h'_I) = (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

such that $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1, \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \in -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. *Then an intertwining operator of the type*

$$\binom{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}$$

exists if and only if $(h'', h''_I) = (\Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}, h_I + h'_I)$

Proof. The existence of the above intertwining operators was proved in Proposition 3.3. The uniqueness follows from Lemma 6.3. □

If a nontrivial intertwining operator of the type $\binom{M_3}{M_1\ M_2}$ exists, then there exist a nontrivial transpose operator of the type $\binom{M_3}{M_2\ M_1}$ and a nontrivial adjoint operator of the type $\binom{M_2^*}{M_1\ M_2^*}$. Combining this with the previous results we get the following result on fusion rules.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* $(h, h_I) = (\Delta_{r_1,s_1}, r_1 - s_1), (h', h'_I) = (\Delta_{r_2,s_2}, r_2 - s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ *such that*

$$\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = q, \ \frac{h'_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = p, \ p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let

$$d = \dim \mathcal{Y}\binom{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h'', h''_I)}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', h'_I)}.$$

Then $d = 1$ *if and only if* $h''_I = h_I + h'_I$ *and if one of the following holds*

(i): $p, q < 0$ *and* $h'' = \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2} = (1+p+q)\left(\frac{h'}{p} + \frac{h}{q}\right) - (1+p)(1+q)\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{c_L-2}{24}$;

(ii): $1 \le -q \le p$ *and* $h'' = \Delta_{r_2-r_1,s_2-s_1} = (1-p+q)\left(\frac{h}{q} - \frac{h'}{p}\right) + (1-p)(1+q)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{c_L-2}{24}$;

(iii): $1 \leq -p \leq q$ *and* $h'' = \Delta_{r_2-r_1,s_2-s_1} = (1-p+q)\left(\frac{h}{q}-\frac{h'}{p}\right) + (1-p)(1+q)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{c_L-2}{24}$.

$d = 0$ *otherwise.*

Proof. $h''_I = h_I + h'_I$ by Proposition 3.1. We continue case by case.

- **a:** Let $p, q < 0$. Then by Theorem 6.5 $d = 1$ if and only if $h'' = \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$.
- **b:** Let $p, -q > 0$. Assume that (ii) holds, then $d = 1$ by Corollary 3.4. If $1 \leq p < -q$, then $d = 0$ by Corollary 6.1. Suppose that $d = 1$, $-q \leq p$ and $h'' \neq \Delta_{r_1+r_2,s_1+s_2}$. Taking in account an adjoint intertwining operator we get a contradiction with Theorem 6.5.
- **c:** Let $-p, q > 0$. One can show that $d = 1$ if and only if (iii) holds by using transposed operators and case b.
- **d:** Let $p, q > 0$. Then $d = 0$ by Corollary 6.1.

This completes the proof. □

Remark 6.7. *The fusion rules for a larger category of $\mathcal{H}$–modules are more complicated. Let $h_I/c_{L,I} - 1 = -p$, $p \geq 1$. Previous theorem shows that the "tensor product functor" $T(L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I), \cdot)$ is a permutation of irreducible modules (6.17). But this is not the case in general. Let $p \geq 2$. By using Propositions 2.1 and 3.2 we see that there are infinitely many non-isomorphic irreducible modules M such that the space of intertwining operators*

$$\binom{M}{L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, \frac{c_L-2}{24}, c_{L,I}) \quad L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)}$$

is non-trivial. Moreover, as in Remark 6.2, we see that the tensor product $T(L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h', c_{L,I}), L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)) = 0$ if $h' \neq \frac{c_L-2}{24}$.

These arguments show that modules $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ are never simple currents if $p \geq 2$.

7. Free field realization of the vertex algebra $W(2,2)$

In this section we shall present a free-field realization of the vertex algebra $W(2,2)$. In fact we shall embed the vertex algebra $W(2,2)$ inside the Heisenberg-Virasoro vertex algebra investigated in previous sections. As a consequence, we shall prove that the singular vectors that appeared in the analysis of the Verma modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra become singular vectors in the Verma modules for the $W(2,2)$ algebra.

Recall that $W(2,2)$–algebra is an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra with a basis $\{W(n), L(n), C, C_W, : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and commutation relations

$$[L(n), L(m)] = (n-m)\, L(n+m) + \delta_{n,-m}\frac{n^3-n}{12}C,$$
$$[L(n), W(m)] = (n-m)\, W(n+m) + \delta_{n,-m}\frac{n^3-n}{12}C_W,$$
$$[W(n), W(m)] = 0,$$
$$C, C_W \quad \text{are in the center of} \quad W(2,2).$$

Let $L^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W)$ be a universal vertex algebra associated to $W(2,2)$ (cf. [DZ], [R2]). Recall that $L^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W)$ is generated by the fields

$$L(z) = Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2},\ W(z) = Y(w, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} W(n)z^{-n-2}.$$

Here $\omega = L(-2)\mathbf{1}$ and $w = W(-2)\mathbf{1}$.

Let $V^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W, h, h_W)$ be the Verma module for $W(2,2)$ with the highest weight (h, h_W).

Theorem 7.1. *There is a non-trivial homomorphism of vertex algebras*

$$\Psi : L^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W) \to L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$$
$$\omega \mapsto L(-2)\mathbf{1}$$
$$w \mapsto (I(-1)^2 + 2c_{L,I}I(-2))\mathbf{1}$$

where

$$c_W = -24c_{L,I}^2.$$

Proof. By direct calculation we get:

$$L(0)w = 2w, \quad L(1)w = 0, \quad L(2)w = -12c_{L,I}^2\mathbf{1} = \frac{c_W}{2}\mathbf{1}.$$

Now the commutator formula gives that the components of the fields $L(z)$, $W(z)$ satisfy the commutation relations for the $W(2,2)$-algebra. This proves the assertion. □

By previous theorem every $L^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, c_{L,I})$–module becomes a $L^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W)$–module. In particular, the Verma module for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ is a $L^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W)$–module and v_{h,h_I} is the $W(2,2)$ highest weight vector such that

$$L(0)v_{h,h_I} = hv_{h,h_I}, \quad W(0)v_{h,h_I} = h_W v_{h,h_I}$$

where $h_W = h_I(h_I - 2c_{L,I})$.

This construction gives a non-trivial $W(2,2)$–homomorphism

$$\Psi : V^{W(2,2)}(c, c_W, h, h_W) \to V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I).$$

Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{C}[W(-1), W(-2), \dots]v_{h,h_W} \subset V^{W(2,2)}(c, c_W, h, h_W)$.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that* $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 \notin -\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. *Then:*
(1) $\Psi|\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{I}$ *is a linear isomorphism of graded vector spaces.*
(2) Ψ *is an isomorphism of* $W(2,2)$*–modules.*

Proof. First we notice that on $\mathcal{I}$

$$W(-n) \equiv 2c_{L,I}\left(\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 + n\right) I(-n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(-i)I(-n+i) \quad . \tag{7.19}$$

Then

$$\Psi(W(-n_1)\dots W(-n_r)v_{h,h_W}) = \nu I(-n_1)\cdots I(-n_r)v_{h,h_I} + \cdots \quad (\nu \neq 0)$$

where " $\cdots$ " denotes the sum of vectors of the form

$$a\ I(-j_1)\cdots I(-j_s)v_{h,h_I}, \quad j_1 + \cdots + j_s = n_1 + \cdots + n_r \quad (a \in \mathbb{C})$$

where $s > r$. This easily implies that the set

$$\{\Psi(W(-n_1)\dots W(-n_r)v_{h,h_W}) \mid n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots n_r \geq 1; r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$$

is linearly independent. This proves assertion (1). The assertion (2) follows from (1). □

Example 7.3. $u_2 = (W(-2) + \frac{6}{c_W}W(-1)^2)v_{h,h_W}$ *is a singular vector in the Verma module* $V^{W(2,2)}(c, c_W, h, -\frac{c_W}{8})$. *Taking* $p = 2$ *in (7.19), one gets* $\Psi(W(-2)) = 8c_{L,I}I(-2) + I(-1)^2$ *and* $\Psi(W(-1)) = 6c_{L,I}I(-1)$, *so* $\Psi(u_2) = 8c_{L,I}(I(-2) - \frac{1}{c_{L,I}}I(-1)^2)v_{h,h_I}$ *which is a singular vector in the Verma module* $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, 3c_{L,I})$.

Remark 7.4. *In the case* $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = -p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ $\ker\Psi$ *is not trivial. For example, if* $h_I = 0$, *one gets from (7.19) that* $\Psi(W(-1)) = 0$. *Note, that* $W(-1)v_{h,0}$ *is a singular vector in* $V^{W(2,2)}(c, c_W, h, 0)$. *Since Verma modules* $V^{W(2,2)}(c, c_W, h, h_W)$ *and* $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ *have equal characters, it follows that* $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ *is not a highest weight* $W(2,2)$*-module (but contains* $U(W(2,2))v_{h,h_I}$ *as a proper submodule). The structure of* $V^{\mathcal{H}}(c_L, 0, c_{L,I}, h, h_I)$ *as a* $W(2,2)$*–module is very interesting and shall be investigated in our future publications.*

Theorem 7.5. *Assume that* $\frac{h_W}{c_W} = \frac{1-p^2}{24}$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. *Let* $h_I, c_{L,I} \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that*

$$c_W = -24c_{L,I}^2,\ h_W = h_I(h_I - 2c_{L,I}),\ \frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = p.$$

Then

$$\Psi^{-1}\left(S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I}\right)$$

is a singular vector of conformal weight p *in the Verma module* $V^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W, h, h_W)$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{h_W}{c_W} = \frac{h_I(h_I - 2c_{L,I})}{-24c_{L,I}^2} = \frac{1-p^2}{24} \quad \text{iff} \quad |\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1| = p.$$

We can choose $h_I, c_{L,I}$ such that $\frac{h_I}{c_{L,I}} - 1 = p$. Then $\Psi|\mathcal{W}$ is a linear isomorphism and there is a non-trivial vector $v \in \mathcal{W} \subset V^{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_W, h, h_W)$ such that $\Psi(v) = S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I}$. The proof follows from the fact that $S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I}$ is also a highest weight vector for $W(2,2)$ and that $L(n)\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}$ for $n \geq 1$. □

Remark 7.6. *A family of singular vectors in the Verma modules over $W(2,2)$-algebras were constructed in* [R2]. *Now we have found a method for constructing all singular vectors which belong to the subspace $\mathcal{W}$. One should take singular vectors $S_p(-\frac{I(-1)}{c_{L,I}}, -\frac{I(-2)}{c_{L,I}}, \cdots)v_{h,h_I}$. The relation (7.19) gives a system of equations which shows how one can express $I(-n)$ using elements $W(-n)$ of the $W(2,2)$–algebras.*

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments. The authors are partially supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 2634.

References

[A1] D. Adamović, Classification of irreducible modules of certain subalgebras of free boson vertex algebra, J. Algebra 270 (2003) 115–132.

[ACKP] E. Arbarello, C. De Concini, V. G. Kac and C. Procesi, Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory, Comm. Math. Phys. (1) 117(1988), 1–36.

[AM] D. Adamović, A. Milas, On W-Algebras Associated to (2, p) Minimal Models and Their Representations, International Mathematics Research Notices 2010 (2010) 20 : 3896-3934

[BDT] S. Berman, C. Dong and S. Tan, Representations of a class of lattice type vertex algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 176 (2002) 27-47

[B1] Y. Billig, Representations of the twisted HeisenbergVirasoro algebra at level zero, Canadian Math. Bulletin, 46 (2003), 529-537, arXiv:0201314v1

[B2] Y. Billig, A category of modules for the full toroidal Lie algebra, Internenational Mathematics Research Notices 2006, Art. Id. 68395, 46pp

[BF] Y. Billig, V. Futorny, Representations of Lie algebra of vector fields on a torus and chiral de Rham complex, to appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society

[CGZ] H. Chen, X. Guo and K. Zhao, Tensor product weight modules over Virasoro algebra, J. London Math. Soc. (2013); arXiv:1301.0526

[FF] B. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs Representations of the Virasoro Algebra Representations of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups and Lie AlgebrasGordon and Breach, New York (1989)

[FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang, and J. Lepowsky, On Axiomatic Approaches to Vertex Operator Algebras and Modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1993)

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Math. J. Volume 66, Number 1 (1992), 123-168

[JJ] Q. Jiang, C. Jiang, Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and the full toroidal Lie algebras, Algebra Colloq., 2007, 14: 117–134.

[K] V. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, 2nd edition, AMS, 1998.

[L] H. Li, Representation theory and tensor product theory for vertex operator algebras, PhD dissertation, Rutgers University (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9406211v1

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[LW] H. Li, Q. Wang, On vertex algebras and their modules associated with even lattices, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 213 (2009) 1097–1111

[LZ] R. Lu and K. Zhao, Generalized oscillator representations for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, arXiv:1308.6023v1

[HLZ] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor product theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, `arXiv:0710.2687`. (also Parts I-VIII: arXiv:1012.4193, arXiv:1012.4196, arXiv:1012.4197, arXiv:1012.4198, arXiv:1012.4199, arXiv:1012.4202, arXiv:1110.1929, arXiv:1110.1931).

[R1] G. Radobolja, Application of vertex algebras to the structure theory of certain representations over the Virasoro algebra, Algebr. Represent. Theory 17 (2014), no. 4, 1013–1034, arXiv:1301.0737

[R2] G. Radobolja, Subsingular vectors in Verma modules, and tensor product of weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and $W(2,2)$ algebra, Journal of Mathematical Physics 54 071701 (2013)

[S] R. Sakamoto, Explicit formula for singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra with central charge less than 1, Chaos Solitons Fractals 25 (2005) 147-151, arXiv:hep-th/0408074.

[DZ] W. Zhang and C. Dong, W-algebra $W(2,2)$and the vertex operator algebra $L\left(\frac{1}{2},0\right)\otimes L\left(\frac{1}{2},0\right)$, Commun. Math. Phys. 285 (2009), 991-1004

[Zh] H. Zhang, A class of representations over the Virasoro algebra, J. Algebra 190(1) (1997), 1-10.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia

E-mail address: `adamovic@math.hr`

Current address: Faculty of Science, University of Split, Teslina 12, 21 000 Split, Croatia

E-mail address: `gordan@pmfst.hr`